



APPLICATION NO.

09/970,611

P.O. BOX 1920

57299

United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

10/03/2001

7590 AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.

DENVER, CO 80201-1920

05/05/2006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usnto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Dwight Poplin	10004192-1	2702
	EXAM	INER
	JERABEK, KELLY L	

2622 DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/970,611	POPLIN, DWIGHT		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kelly L. Jerabek	2622		

	Kelly L. Jerabek	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire and the statutory period for reply expire as a statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for reply expires and the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (2) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires to the statutor	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	· —	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		Impliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10,12-16 and 18-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:			
	4	DAVID OMETZ	1

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) Applicant's arguments (After Final Amendment page 3) state that the process for capturing image signals using different exposure times for a substantially same scene as disclosed by Norita does not make sense for a video camera, such as the video camera of Shinsky. Thus, displaying captured images corresponding to a plurality of predetermined exposure times by a predetermined time interval with a lapse of time for user selection as described by Norita is not practical using the video camera disclosed by Shinsky. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Shinsky states that a user of the video camera may also select a high resolution still picture mode rather than the streaming video mode. When the high resolution still picture mode is selected, the CCD is scanned a single line at a time and the image data is transmitted to the host computer in order to provide a higher resolution image. Also, the digital signal processing for the image is performed as described for video images (col. 10, lines 59-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have been motivated to include the concept of adjusting the current settings of image capturing parameters according to a displayed image that is selected by a user as disclosed by Norita in the method of processing raw image data (high resoluction still images) by setting image capturing parameters using a graphical user interface as disclosed by Shinsky. Doing so would provide a means for specifying an image having proper image capturing parameters while viewing a serially updated image in order to set the image capturing parameters (Norita: page 7, paragraph 135).

2) Applicant's arguments (After Final Amendment pages 3-4) state that the Norita reference involves displaying the same image with different exposure times, not displaying different images as disclosed in the Shinsky reference. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The camera in the Norita reference includes an image sensor (9) that captures a plurality of images at different exposure times by activating after a lapse of time (Ti) and replacing the previous image signal in a buffer memory (82) with the current image signal (page 6, paragraphs 115-118). Each image signal corresponding to the different exposure times contains different signal values/characteristics therefore the Examiner is reading each image signal as a different image.